



The State of New Hampshire  
*Department of Environmental Services*



Michael P. Nolin  
Commissioner

Town of Seabrook  
Attn: Jeffrey Brown  
P.O. Box 456  
Seabrook, NH 03874-0456

Re: Seabrook Refueling Station  
87 Centennial Street - UST ID # 0-118871

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED  
ADMINISTRATIVE FINE  
No. AF 04-101**

December 30, 2004

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This Notice of Proposed Administrative Fine is issued by the Department of Environmental Services, Waste Management Division ("the Division") to Town of Seabrook, pursuant to RSA 146-C and Env-C 601. The Division is proposing that fines totaling \$8,400 be imposed against Town of Seabrook for the violations alleged below. **This notice contains important procedural information. Please read the entire notice carefully.**

**II. PARTIES**

1. The Department of Environmental Services, Waste Management Division, is an administrative agency of the State of New Hampshire, having its principal office at 29 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03302.
2. Town of Seabrook ("Seabrook") is a duly constituted municipality of the state of New Hampshire having a mailing address of P.O. Box 456, Seabrook, NH 03874-0456.

**SUMMARY OF FACTS AND LAW SUPPORTING CLAIMS**

1. RSA 146-C authorizes Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Services ("DES") to regulate the installation, maintenance, operation, and closure of underground storage facilities. Pursuant to RSA 146-C:9, the Commissioner of DES has adopted Env-Wm 1401 to set forth the requirements for underground storage facilities by "establishing criteria for registration and permitting, and standards for design, installation, operation, maintenance, and monitoring of such facilities."
2. RSA 146-C:10-a authorizes DES to impose administrative fines of up to \$2,000 per offense upon any person who violates any provision of RSA 146-C and any rule adopted under the provisions of this chapter. Pursuant to RSA 146-C:10-a, the Commissioner has adopted Env-C 607 to establish the schedule of fines for violations of RSA 146-C including any rule adopted under the provisions of this chapter. Administrative fines imposed under this section shall not preclude imposition of further penalties under this chapter.
3. Seabrook is the registered facility owner of three underground storage tanks (USTs) at the Seabrook Refueling Station facility ("the Facility"), further identified as UST #0-118871, located on real property at 87 Centennial Street in Seabrook, NH ("the Property").

4. The UST systems are subject to the requirements of RSA 146-C and the rules adopted pursuant to that chapter, New Hampshire Administrative Rules Env-Wm 1401.
5. On October 24, 2003 a DES inspector conducted a compliance inspection at the Facility and noted compliance deficiencies which were identified in a report issued to the Facility representative at the time of the inspection ("the Report").
6. The Report notified the Facility that compliance was to be achieved within 30 days of the date of the inspection and verification of compliance submitted to the Division within 45 days of the date of the inspection. The Report was signed by Jeffrey Brown on behalf of the Facility.
7. The Report also included a UST Facility Summary of Deficiencies identified at the time of the inspection. Among those deficiencies identified, the following were not corrected within 45 days after the inspection was performed:
  - a. A registration form for the 500-gallon diesel generator UST was not submitted to DES;
  - b. Corrected inventory monitoring for the 8,000-gallon diesel (Tank 1) and the 8,000-gallon gasoline (Tank 2) USTs was not submitted to DES;
  - c. Tank gauging was not performed for the 500-gallon diesel generator UST;
  - d. The UST certificate was not posted;
  - e. Verification that the overfill protection devices for Tanks 1 and 2 were properly installed was not provided to DES;
  - f. Release detection for the piping of Tank 2 has not been performed;
  - g. Leak monitoring for Tanks 1 and 2 was not operating continuously and the annual leak monitor test had not been performed;
  - h. Passing corrosion protection tests have not been submitted for Tanks 1 and 2; and
  - i. Corrosion protection was not installed on the flexible piping for Tanks 1 and 2.
8. Env-Wm 1401.04(a) requires the owner of an underground storage facility to register the facility by providing the information required by RSA 146-C:3, I and II.
9. Env-Wm 1401.11(d) requires inventory monitoring be conducted and inventory records be maintained on motor fuel, hazardous substance and bulk heating oil systems without secondary containment and leak monitoring for both tank and piping.
10. Env-Wm 1041.11(f) requires non-exempt on-premise-use heating oil systems and emergency generator systems without secondary containment and leak monitoring for both tank and piping to perform inventory control by annual tank gauging.
11. Env-Wm 1401.21(l) requires a certificate which shows all of the information in Env-Wm

11. Env-Wm 1401.21(l) requires a certificate which shows all of the information in Env-Wm 1401.21(k), the date of installation, and the regulated substances and percentages by volume of any additives to be displayed so it is visible and permanently affixed on the facility premises.
12. Env-Wm 1401.25(d) defines the manner in which the overfill protection devices shall be installed.
13. Env-Wm 1401.30(g) requires release detection for suction or atmospheric piping when the check valve is not located directly below and as close as practical to the suction pump.
14. Env-Wm 1401.31(a) requires leak monitoring equipment and devices to be maintained in good working order at all times to continuously perform their original design function and shall be tested annually for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements.
15. Env-Wm 1401.32(c) requires corrosion protection systems to be tested within six months of installation and every three years thereafter.
16. Env-Wm 1401.33 requires corrosion protection be installed on regulated piping prior to December 22, 1998.

#### **IV. VIOLATIONS ALLEGED AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE FINES**

1. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.04(a) by failing to provide DES with a current registration form for the Facility. For this violation, Env-C 607.02(a) specifies a fine of \$1,000.
2. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.11(d) by failing to maintain accurate stock inventory records for Tanks 1 and 2 and Env-Wm 1401.11(f) by failing to perform annual tank gauging for the 500-gallon diesel generator UST in accordance with RSA 146-C:5 and Env-Wm 1401.11. For this violation, Env-C 607.05(a) specifies a fine of \$500 per requirement not met or \$1,500 for the Facility.
3. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.21(l) by failing to display and permanently affix a certificate bearing the Facility's tank information. For this violation, Env-C 607.02(b) specifies a fine of \$100.
4. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.25 (d) by failing to properly install and maintain overfill protection equipment on Tanks 1 and 2. For this violation, Env-C 607.05(j) specifies a fine of \$200 per requirement not met or \$400 for the Facility.
5. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.30(g) by failing to perform release detection for the piping of Tank 2. For this violation, Env-C 607.03(j) specifies a fine of \$1,000 per requirement not met.
6. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.31(a) by failing to maintain leak monitoring equipment in good working order at all times to continuously perform their original design function for the piping for Tanks 1 and 2 and by failing to perform the annual test for proper operation. For this violation, Env-C 607.05(d) specifies a fine of \$1,000 per requirement not met or \$2,000 for the Facility.

7. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.32(c) by failing to test the cathodic protection system for Tanks 1 and 2 within three years of the last test. For this violation, Env-C 607.04(b) specifies a fine of \$200 per requirement not met or \$400 for the Facility.

8. Seabrook has violated Env-Wm 1401.33 for failing to install corrosion protection for the flexible piping of Tanks 1 and 2. For this violation Env-C 607.03(f) specifies a fine of \$1,000 per requirement not met or \$2,000 for the Facility.

**The total fine being sought is \$8,400.**

#### **V. REQUIRED RESPONSE, OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING**

**Pursuant to Env-C 601.06, Seabrook is required to respond to this notice. Please respond no later than January 31, 2005, using the enclosed colored form.**

1. If Seabrook would like to have a hearing, please sign the appearance section of the colored form and return it to the DES Legal Unit, as noted on the form. A Notice of Scheduled Hearing will be issued.
2. If Seabrook chooses to waive the hearing and pay the proposed fine, please have the authorized representative sign the waiver (lower portion) and return it **with payment of the fine** to the DES Legal Unit.
3. If Seabrook wishes to discuss the possibility of settling the case, please have the authorized representative sign the appearance and return it to the DES Legal Unit **and** call the DES Legal Unit to indicate Seabrook's interest in settling.

Seabrook is not required to be represented by an attorney. If Seabrook chooses to be represented by an attorney, the attorney must file an appearance and, if a hearing is held, submit proposed findings of fact to the person conducting the hearing.

#### **VI. DETERMINATION OF LIABILITY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE FINES**

Pursuant to Env-C 601.09, in order for any fine to be imposed after a hearing, the Division must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Seabrook committed the violations alleged and that the total amount of fines sought is the appropriate amount under the applicable statute and rules. Proving something by a preponderance of the evidence means that it is **more likely than not** that the thing sought to be proved is true.

If the Division proves that Seabrook committed the violations and that the total amount of fines sought is the appropriate amount under the applicable statute and rules, then the fine sought will be imposed, subject to the following:

\* Pursuant to Env-C 601.09(c), the fine will be **reduced by 10%** for each of the circumstances listed below **that Seabrook proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, applies in this case:**

1. The violation was a one-time or non-continuing violation, **and** Seabrook did not know about the requirement when the violation occurred, **and** the violation has not continued or reoccurred as of the time of the hearing, **and** any environmental harm or threat of harm

has been corrected, **and** Seabrook did not benefit financially, whether directly or indirectly, from the violation.

2. At the time the violation was committed, Seabrook was making a good faith effort to comply with the requirement that was violated.
3. Seabrook has no history of non-compliance with the statutes or rules implemented by DES or with any permit issued by DES or contract entered into with DES.
4. Other information exists which is favorable to Seabrook's case which was not known to the Division at the time the fine was proposed.


**\*\*\*\*\*IMPORTANT NOTICE\*\*\*\*\***

**An administrative fine hearing is a formal hearing.** Any hearing will be tape recorded, and all witnesses will testify under oath or affirmation. At the hearing, the Division will present testimony and evidence to try to prove that Seabrook committed the violation(s) alleged above and that the fine(s) should be imposed. **The hearing is Seabrook's opportunity to present testimony and evidence that Seabrook did not commit the violation(s) and/or that the fine(s) should not be imposed, or that the fine(s) sought should be reduced.** If Seabrook has any evidence, such as photographs, business records or other documents that believe show that Seabrook did not commit the violation or that otherwise support Seabrook's position, Seabrook should bring the evidence to the hearing. Seabrook may also bring witnesses (other people) to the hearing to testify on Seabrook's behalf.

**If Seabrook wishes to discuss the issues, Seabrook must contact the DES Legal Unit at (603) 271-6072 to request an informal meeting or prehearing conference.**

\*\*\*\*\*

Information regarding this proposed fine may be made available to the public via the DES Web page ([www.des.state.nh.us](http://www.des.state.nh.us)). If Seabrook has any questions about this matter, please contact the DES Legal Unit, at (603) 271-6072.

**COPY**  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Anthony P. Giunta, P.G., Director  
DES Waste Management Division

Enclosure (*NHDES Fact Sheet #CO-2002*)

cc: Gretchen R. Hamel, Administrator, DES Legal Unit  
Michael J. Walls, Assistant Commissioner DES  
Jennifer J. Patterson, Sr. Asst. Attorney General, NHDOJ/EPB  
Public Information Officer, DES PIP Office  
Lynn A. Woodard, P.E., WMD UST Supervisor  
Thomas R. Beaulieu, WMD UST Chief  
Mark Antonia, WMD

**\*\*\* RETURN THIS PAGE ONLY \*\*\***

**TOWN OF SEABROOK IS REQUIRED BY LAW  
TO RESPOND TO THIS NOTICE**

**PLEASE RESPOND NO LATER THAN January 31, 2005**

Please check the appropriate line and fill in the requested information below.

**APPEARANCE** On behalf of Town of Seabrook

\_\_\_\_\_ I request to have a **formal hearing** scheduled in this matter.

\_\_\_\_\_ I request to have a **prehearing conference** scheduled in this matter.

\_\_\_\_\_ I would like to **meet informally** to discuss the issues in this matter.

**WAIVER OF HEARING** On behalf of Town of Seabrook

\_\_\_\_\_ I certify that I understand the right to a hearing regarding the imposition of the proposed administrative fine and that I hereby waive those rights. The fine payment in the amount of \$8,400 paid to "Treasurer, State of New Hampshire" is enclosed.\*

*\* If payment is made by a check, draft, or money order that is returned due to insufficient funds, pursuant to NH RSA 6:11-a, DES may charge a fee in the amount of 5% of the face amount of the original check draft, or money order or \$25.00, whichever is greater, plus all protest and bank fees, in addition to collecting the amount of the original check draft, or money order.*

**Pursuant to Env-C 203.05 please provide the following information:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Name (please print or type): \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**RETURN THIS PAGE ONLY TO:**

**Department of Environmental Services ~ Legal Unit  
Attn: Michael Sclafani, Legal Assistant  
29 Hazen Drive, P.O. Box 95  
Concord, NH 03302-0095**

# ENVIRONMENTAL Fact Sheet



6 Hazen Drive, Concord, New Hampshire 03301 • (603) 271-3503 • [www.des.state.nh.us](http://www.des.state.nh.us)

CO-2

2001

## Administrative Fines of the Department of Environmental Services

The Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Services (DES) is authorized by several statutes to impose administrative fines for certain violations of those statutes. In order to implement this authority, the Commissioner has adopted rules which specify the procedures for notifying people that a fine is being proposed and which specify the fine amount for any given violation. These rules are identified as Chapter Env-C 600.

Administrative fine proceedings follow a defined path. The first step is for a Division of DES to issue a Notice of Proposed Fine. The Notice will inform you of the violations the Division believes you have committed, together with the dollar amount of the fine that is being proposed. At this point, a final decision as to whether to impose the fine **has not been made**...the Notice simply initiates the proceeding. The Notice will also inform you that you have a right to have a hearing before a final decision will be made, and may give a date and time for the hearing.

The Notice you receive will have a page attached to it on which you can indicate whether you will attend a hearing or whether you are waiving your right to a hearing and paying the fine which has been proposed. **YOU MUST COMPLETE AND RETURN THIS FORM.** The worst thing you can do if you receive a Notice is to ignore it! Under the rules which have been adopted, the case can proceed even if you don't respond. In order to achieve the best result, you must participate in the process.

When you receive a Notice of Proposed Fine, if you are interested in trying to settle the case without going to a formal hearing you should contact the person identified in the Notice. Many fine cases are settled in this way, often with a lower fine, a payment schedule, and/or a suspended fine. The negotiations need to start soon after the Notice is received, though. Don't wait until the day scheduled for the hearing to ask about settling the case.

If the case proceeds to a hearing, the Commissioner will designate a person to serve as a hearing officer to preside at the formal hearing. The hearing officer will not have prior knowledge of the Division's allegations, and will be neutral insofar as the outcome of the case is concerned. At the hearing, the Division will be required to prove that the violation(s) occurred and that the proposed fine is warranted. You will have an opportunity to ask questions of (cross-examine) the Division staff, and also present your own evidence, including testimony of witnesses if you wish, to show why the fine should not be imposed.

(over)



After the hearing is over, the hearing officer will compile the record (i.e. all of the information that was received at the hearing) and will make a recommendation to the Commissioner as to whether or not the fine should be imposed. The Commissioner will make a decision based on the evidence and testimony, and the decision issued by the Commissioner will specifically state the reasons for the decision.

The rules adopted by the Commissioner require the proposed fine to be reduced in certain circumstances, which are listed at Env-C 601.09. These include that you have not previously violated a law or rule implemented by DES, or that you acted in good faith. The Commissioner also has the discretion to allow you to pay a fine on a payment schedule, and/or to suspend all or a portion of the fine conditional upon remedying the underlying violation or staying in compliance with DES requirements for a specified period of time.

Sometimes people are concerned that the findings and rulings made by the Commissioner might be used against them in a separate proceeding (for instance, if their neighbor sues them for damages arising out of the same violation(s) for which they are being fined). In such a case, DES has accepted payment of the fine with a specific denial of liability. This is like pleading "no contest" to a traffic ticket: you pay the fine assessed, but are not admitting that you did anything wrong.

*This fact sheet is intended as a basic source of information concerning DES administrative fines. It is not intended to replace the laws and rules regarding administrative fines, but merely to provide a summary of them.*

For more information contact James Ballentine, Enforcement Paralegal, DES Legal Unit, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095, (603) 271-6072.